

**USTFCCCA Divison II Track & Field General Session (Tuesday , December 13 2011)**

Call to Order (5:45 P.m.) (Guymon)

~Approval of 2010 Convention Minutes~

Motion to approve the minutes (Sterling Martin)

2<sup>nd</sup>- (Troy Johnson)

Vote by hands- Unanimous

~Motion set forth to accept Damon Martin (Adams State) as the candidate for the position of Chairman of the NCAA Division II Executive Council~

Motion set forth by Sterling Martin

2<sup>nd</sup>- (Gary Gardner)

Vote- No opposition

~Dave Stelnik of TFFRS came in to talk about how the version of TFFRS on your smartphone is much better. He also discussed a few other change to the website~

~Old Business~

Recap-Motion was pushed forward to increase the numbers of competitors at the NCAA indoor and outdoor championships. The NCAA Finance and Budget Committee approved the motion effective the 2012-2013 season. Numbers will increase as follows- Indoor: 200-270 per gender/Outdoor: 310-377.

~New Business~

Proposal set forth by Tom Rutledge (MSSU) to start the track and field season the 1<sup>st</sup> Monday of October and eliminate the 156 day rule.

Mike Rohl put forth a motion to table the proposal. He rec'd a 2<sup>nd</sup> from Mike Dewitt?

This motion was defeated 19 for 30 opposed.

Original Proposal was set forth by Sterling Martin and received a 2<sup>nd</sup> from Troy Johnson.

Proposal Passed and was moved to the general assembly.

~Proposal set forth by Sterling Martin (Miss S&T) that top 8 finishers in each event at the NCAA National Championships Indoor/Outdoor be recognized as 1<sup>st</sup> Team All-Americans and that 9-12 place finishers be recognized as 2<sup>nd</sup> Team All-Americans. Relays would remain top 8 finishers as All-Americans.

Motion to approve proposal given by Gary Gardner. 2<sup>nd</sup> given by Aaron Russell.

Proposal Passed and was moved to the general assembly.

~Proposal set forth to place the 5K at the Indoor Championships on Friday between the 200 and the DMR and to start the running events on Friday at 3:30 P.m. In addition, place the 3K in the time slot that was formally assigned to the 5K and have no time changes to the running events on Sat.

Motion to approve proposal given by Mason Rebarchek. 2<sup>nd</sup> given by Scott Weiser

Proposal Passed and was moved to the general assembly.

~Proposal set forth to leave the 3K as a straight final, regardless of the field size.

Motion to approve proposal given by Mason. 2<sup>nd</sup> given by Aaron Russell.

Proposal Passed and was moved to the general assembly.

~Proposal set forth to increase the field size for the multi events from 12 desired competitors to 14 desired competitors.

Motion to approve proposal given by Osanitsch, 2<sup>nd</sup> given by Gary Gardner.

Proposal Passed and was moved to the general assembly.

~Executive Committee amended Bylaw 1.03H to match wording of associations Bylaws

Motion to approve the amendment change given by Aaron Russell, 2<sup>nd</sup> given by Sterling Martin.

Amendment modification approved without opposition.

Meeting Adjourned at 6:17 P.m.

### **USTFCCCA Division II Track & Field General Session (Wednesday, December 14 2011)**

Call to Order (1:30 p.m.) (Guymon)

~Proposal was set forth to have the desired number of the competition field for the outdoor NCAA championships to be 20 individuals per event/16 multi athletes/14 relay teams

Motion to accept proposal was given by James Reid

Motion received a 2<sup>nd</sup> from Mason Rebarchek

Proposal was moved forward to general assembly for discussion and vote.

~Proposal was set forth to recommend to the NCAA Selection Committee that they break ties to meet the desired field sizes at the NCAA championships and adopt the NCAA Division I formula

Motion to accept the proposal was given by Churly Ryan

Motion received a 2<sup>nd</sup> from Bryan Harman

Proposal moved forward to general assembly for discussion and vote.

~Katie Holmes from the NCAA came in to discuss some NCAA rule concerns along with Kirk Peterson. One major concern was long jump pits not being at the desired depth. They also discussed the Indoor and Outdoor NCAA sites for the indoor/outdoor 2012 season.

Meeting adjourned at 2:10 p.m.

#### **USTFCCCA Division II Track & Field Voting Session (Thursday, December 15, 2011)**

1. Accept the amendment of bylaw 1.03H to match the wording of the association's bylaws
  - To provide assistance to AND MEET THE NEEDS OF the body of people who make up the athletic community of Division II track & field

Accept: 77      Reject: 1      Abstain: 0

2. An institution that sponsors both indoor and outdoor track & field would have a start date of the first Monday in October.

Accept: 72      Reject: 40      Abstain: 7

3. Recognize the top 8 finishers in each event at the NCAA II Indoor and Outdoor Championships as 1<sup>st</sup> Team All-Americans, regardless of citizenship; and to recognize those individuals finishing 9<sup>th</sup> through 12<sup>th</sup> as 2<sup>nd</sup> Team All-Americans in the indoor and outdoor championships, regardless of citizenship. Relay teams will continue to only recognize the top 8 finishers as All-American.

Accept: 38      Reject: 49      Abstain: 1

4. Place the 5000 meters on Friday between the 200 meters and the Distance Medley Relay. Start running events on Friday at 3:30pm. Place the 3000 meters on Saturday during the current 5000 meters time slot. No changes to the time schedule would be needed.

Accept: 110    Reject: 0    Abstain: 0

5. Regardless of field size, leave the 3000 meters as a straight final.

Accept: 98    Reject: 10    Abstain: 2

6. To have the desired number for the competition fields outdoors be 20 individuals, 16 multi-event athletes, and 14 relay teams.

Accept: 113    Reject: 4    Abstain: 0

7. Increase the field size for the indoor multi events from 12 desired competitors to 14 desired competitors.

Accept: 95    Reject: 7    Abstain: 6

8. Recommend to the selection committee that they break ties to meet the desired field size at the NCAA Championships and adopt the Division I formula. Sterling Martin made a motion to amend, second by Mason Rabarghek to remove the portion stating that if a tie not resolved after available mark comparisons that a coin flip to be used to break the tie, but rather both athletes will be qualifiers. The tie break formula to be used is as follows:

Any descending order list ties by mark for the last position to be accepted, will be resolved by examining, of those tied, the second best valid qualifying meet performance submitted during the season, and so on until there is no basis for a mark comparison. For a tie not resolved after available mark comparisons, acceptance will be awarded to the competitor who received the original tying mark later in the season. For a tie still not resolved, acceptance will be awarded to the competitor who achieved the 2<sup>nd</sup> best valid qualifying meet performance later in the season and so on until there is no basis for a date comparison. For a tie still not resolved, both competitors will be accepted into the meet.

Accept: 89    Reject: 25    Abstain: 6